PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: HIRONO, T., et al.

Serial No: 09/901,288

Examiner: Lee, Seung H.

Filed: July 9, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2876

For: CUVETTE CONTROL UNIT AND CONTROLLING METHOD USING THE SAME

## **AMENDMENT. 37 C.F.R. §1.118**

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSUALE TRANSMISSION 27 C.F.R. §§1.8, 1.8
I certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facetrate to the Patent And Trademark Office on the date and to the number shown below, FAX No. \_\_703-872-9319
Comprising \_\_12\_\_\_\_\_sheets, including this page,

Date 9-25-03

RECEIVED

Applicant hereby responds under 35 U.S.C. §1.116 to the official accentral FAX CENTER

mailed August 1, 2003 (Paper No. 9). This response consists of:

SEP 2 5 2003

- amended claims 1-6 and 8 on following pages 2-5;
- appended remarks, pages 6-10;
- a transmittal letter containing a contingent charge authorization; and,
- a facsimile cover sheet.

This response places the case in condition for allowance. No issues are presented after final rejection involving substantial consideration or search. Applicant establishes herein that a "control code," consistent with the specification and with the industry understood concept of a control code, is plainly different from a parity or other data-redundancy code. A parity or CRC code is a conventional data code. It changes with data values otherwise found in the bar code. It does not relate to <u>control</u> of the reading/scanning operation. Applicant proposes to amend claim 1 to specifically recite the nature of such control, namely for <u>start</u> or <u>stop</u> coding. This is not a new issue because control codes defined as start and stop codes were recited in claim 4.

Entry of this amendment is requested.

Received from < > at 9/25/03 11:05:17 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]